

XXXVIII IAH Congress

Groundwater Quality Sustainability
Krakow, 12–17 September 2010

Extended Abstracts

Editors:
Andrzej Zuber
Jarosław Kania
Ewa Kmiecik



University
of Silesia
Press 2010

abstract id: **435**

topic: **3**

Aquifer management

3.1

Regional groundwater systems

title: **A methodology for determining sustainable groundwater exploitation in aquifer systems based on a simulation-optimisation approach using a multi-criteria analysis tool**

author(s): **Marc Van Camp**

Ghent University, Laboratory of Applied Geology and Hydrogeology, Belgium,
Marc.VanCamp@UGent.be

Kristine Martens

Ghent University, Laboratory of Applied Geology and Hydrogeology, Belgium,
kristine.martens@ugent.be

Didier D'hondt

Vlaamse Milieu Maatschappij, afdeling Operationeel Waterbeheer (Flemish Water Agency), Belgium, d.dhont@vmm.be

Johan Lermytte

Operationeel Waterbeheer (Flemish Water Agency), Belgium, j.lermytte@vmm.be

Andy Louwyck

Operationeel Waterbeheer (Flemish Water Agency), Belgium, a.louwyck@vmm.be

Kristine Walraevens

Ghent University, Laboratory of Applied Geology and Hydrogeology, Belgium,
kristine.walraevens@ugent.be

keywords: sustainable, groundwater exploitation, simulation-optimisation approach, MCA, Flanders

It has become evident in recent years that management and future planning of aquifer exploitation should be based on the concept of “sustainable development” as more and more groundwater systems are being depleted by overdrafting. Even for aquifers that are not (yet) threatened today, there is a risk that socio-economic development and climate change will ultimately lead to decreasing groundwater storage and increasing problems with water supply capabilities. In that context, the question how much can be pumped in a sustainable way is probably most crucial. The first description of “safe yield” is nearly a century old (Lee, 1915) and the concept has evolved over the years into “sustainable yield” (Alley and Leake, 2004), but all these definitions were diffuse, only descriptive and non-quantitative. The Brundtland Report (United Nations, 1987) defined “sustainable” as a “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs”. Sometimes it was stated that groundwater withdrawal must not exceed the “capacity” of the aquifer system (Custodio, 2002). Many articles and books about sustainability of groundwater resources restrict themselves to listing all negative impacts of overdrafting, but do not provide a quantitative method for calculating how much can be pumped from a specific aquifer in a specific hydrogeological setting. Simple estimations were based on global water balance or water budget considerations but this has led to much confusion. It was often thought that sustainable yield was related to the recharge of aquifers. Instead, sustainable groundwater development is determined by capture of natural discharge. Basing groundwater development sustainability on natural recharge (i.e. safe yield) is a myth and irrelevant (Bredehoeft, 1997 and 2002). Although the Brundtland definition of sustainability was vague, it cleverly captured two fundamental issues: the problem of environmental degradation that so commonly accompanies economic growth, and yet the need for such growth to alleviate poverty. The core of mainstream sustainability thinking has become the idea of three dimensions, environmental, social and economic sustainability (UCN, 2006). Therefore, a methodology for quantifying sustainable groundwater exploitation, should include the possibility to account for both hydrogeological, ecological and socio-economical impacts. This can be accomplished by using a multi-criteria analysis (MCA).

A methodology is being developed for determining sustainable groundwater exploitation rates in the groundwater bodies of Flanders (Belgium). The method extends the simulation-optimisation approach (combination of a groundwater flow model with a general optimiser) with a MCA tool to define an object function that is related to both hydrogeological, ecological and socio-economic aspects, including maximising exploitation rates. As ecological impacts have typically a strong spatial dependency (e.g. the occurrences of local habitats), a distributed groundwater model is used. This also allows for a compartmentalisation of pumping rates into different regions. A general optimisation program is used to minimise the object function and as a result quota for each subregion are obtained. To decrease calculation times, the concept of unit response functions (URF) is used to replace simulation runs with the regional models with faster URF grid manipulations. Some preliminary results obtained with this approach will be presented.

REFERENCES

- Alley W.M., Leake S.A., 2004: *The journey from safe yield to sustainability*. Ground Water 42(1).
Bredehoeft J.D., 1997: *Safe yield and the water budget myth*. Ground Water 35(6).

Bredehoeft J.D., 2002: *The water budget myth revisited: why hydrogeologists model*. Ground Water 40(4).

Custodio E., 2002: *Aquifer overexploitation: what does it mean?* Hydrogeology Journal 10(2).

Lee C.H., 1915: *The determination of safe yield of underground reservoirs of the closed basin type*. Trans Am Soc Civil Eng 78.

United Nations, 1987: *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. General Assembly Resolution 42/187, 11 December 1987.

UCN, 2006: *The Future of Sustainability: Re-thinking Environment and Development in the Twenty-first Century*. Report of the IUCN Renowned Thinkers Meeting, 29–31 January, 2006.



International Association of Hydrogeologists



AGH University of Science and Technology

2-vol. set + CD
ISSN 0208-6336
ISBN 978-83-226-1979-0