Fast and smooth simulation of space-time problems

Day 4



Fast and smooth simulation of space-time problems

Maciej Paszynski

Department of Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland

Desde 24 al 28 de Julio, 2017 Todos los días de 15:00 a 17:00 hrs. Sala Aula, Instituto de Matemáticas PUCV

Department of Computer Science AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland home.agh.edu.pl/paszynsk

Department of Computer Science AGH University, Kraków, Poland



Outline

- Isogeometric finite element method
- Alternating Directions Implicit (ADI) method
- Isogeometric L2 projections
- Explicit dynamics
- Example 1: Heat transfer
- Installation of IGA-ADS solver
- Parallel distributed memory explicit dynamics
- Parallel shared memory explicit dynamics
- Example 2: Non-linear flow in heterogenous media
- Implicit dynamics
- Example 3: Implicit heat transfer
- Example 4: Linear elasticity
- Example 5: Pollution problem
- Labs with implict dynamics

Software

Program Title: IGA-ADS Code: git clone https://github.com/marcinlos/iga-ads Licensing provisions: MIT license (MIT) Programming language: C++ Nature of problem: Solving non-stationary problems in 1D, 2D and 3D

Solution method: Alternating direction solver with isogeometric finite element method

If you use this software in your work, please cite

Marcin Łoś, Maciej Woźniak, Maciej Paszyński, Andrew Lenharth, Keshav Pingali *IGA-ADS : Isogeometric Analysis FEM using ADS solver*, **Computer & Physics Communications** 217 (2017) 99-116 (available on researchgate.org)

Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method

The Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method G. Birkhoff, R.S. Varga, D. Young, *Alternating direction implicit methods*, **Advanced Computing** (1962)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} - L_x u - L_y u &= f \\ \frac{du}{dt} - \frac{u_{i-1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}}{h^2} - \frac{u_{i,j-1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j+1}}{h^2} &= f \\ \frac{u_{i,j}^{t+0.5} - u_{i,j}^t}{dt} - \frac{u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5} - 2u_{i,j}^{t+0.5} + u_{i+1,j}^{t+0.5}}{h^2} &= \frac{u_{i,j-1}^t - 2u_{i,j}^t + u_{i,j+1}^t}{h^2} + f_{i,j}^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{u_{i,j}^{t+1} - u_{i,j}^{t+0.5}}{dt} - \frac{u_{i,j-1}^{t+1} - 2u_{i,j}^{t+1} + u_{i,j+1}^{t+1}}{h^2} = \frac{u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5} - 2u_{i,j}^{t+0.5} + u_{i+1,j}^{t+0.5}}{h^2} + f_{i,j}^{t+0.5}$$

Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method

The Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method G. Birkhoff, R.S. Varga, D. Young, *Alternating direction implicit methods*, **Advanced Computing** (1962)

$$u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5}\left[-\frac{2dt}{h^2}\right] + u_{i,j}^{t+0.5}\left[1 + \frac{2dt}{h^2}\right] + u_{i+1,j}^{t+0.5}\left[-\frac{2dt}{h^2}\right] = dt \frac{u_{i,j-1}^t - 2u_{i,j}^t + u_{i,j+1}^t}{h^2} + dt f_{i,j}^t$$

for $i = 1, ..., N_x$, $j = 1, ..., N_y$.

$$u_{i,j-1}^{t}\left[-\frac{2dt}{h^{2}}\right] + u_{i,j}^{t}\left[1 + \frac{2dt}{h^{2}}\right] + u_{i,j+1}^{t}\left[-\frac{2dt}{h^{2}}\right] = dt \frac{u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5} - 2u_{i,j}^{t+0.5} + u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5}}{h^{2}} + dt f_{i,j}^{t+0.5}$$

for $j = 1, ..., N_y$, $i = 1, ..., N_x$.

The Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method G. Birkhoff, R.S. Varga, D. Young, *Alternating direction implicit methods*, **Advanced Computing** (1962)

$$u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5}[-2dt] + u_{i,j}^{t+0.5}[h^2 + 2dt] + u_{i+1,j}^{t+0.5}[-2dt] = dt u_{i,j-1}^t - 2u_{i,j}^t + u_{i,j+1}^t + h^2 dt f_{i,j}^t$$

for $i = 1, ..., N_x$, $j = 1, ..., N_y$.

$$u_{i,j-1}^{t}[-2dt] + u_{i,j}^{t}[h^{2} + 2dt] + u_{i,j+1}^{t}[-2dt] = u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5} - 2u_{i,j}^{t+0.5} + u_{i-1,j}^{t+0.5} + h^{2}dtf_{i,j}^{t+0.5}$$

for $j = 1, ..., N_y$, $i = 1, ..., N_x$.

Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method

The Alternating Direction Implicit (ADI) method G. Birkhoff, R.S. Varga, D. Young, *Alternating direction implicit methods*, **Advanced Computing** (1962)



=

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2u_{1,1}^{t} + u_{1,2}^{t} + h^{2}dtr_{1,1}^{t} \\ u_{1,1}^{t} - 2u_{1,2}^{t} + u_{1,3}^{t} + h^{2}dtr_{i,j}^{t} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N_{X},N_{Y}-2}^{t} - 2u_{N_{X},N_{Y}-1}^{t} + u_{N_{X},N_{Y}}^{t} + h^{2}dtr_{N_{X},N_{Y}-1}^{t} \\ u_{N_{X},N_{Y}-1}^{t} - 2u_{N_{X},N_{Y}}^{t} + h^{2}dtr_{N_{X},N_{Y}}^{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{du}{dt} - Lu = f$$

assuming constant coefficients and regular cube shape domain, where $L = L_x + L_y$ is a separable differential operator, e.g. Laplacian, where $L = L_x + L_y = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$. First, we apply the alternating direction method with respect to time. We introduce the intermediate time steps

$$\frac{u_{t+0.5} - u_t}{dt} - L_x u_{t+0.5} - L_y u_t = f_t$$
$$\frac{u_{t+1} - u_{t+0.5}}{dt} - L_x u_{t+0.5} - L_y u_{t+1} = f_{t+0.5}$$

We obtain

$$u_{t+0.5} - dt * L_x u_{t+0.5} = u_t + dt * L_y u_t + dt * f_t$$

$$u_{t+1} - dt * L_y u_{t+0.1} = u_{t+0.5} + dt * L_x u_{t+0.5} + dt * f_{t+0.5}$$

Now, we transform the problem into a weak form by taking L2-scalar products with test functions

$$(u_{t+0.5} - dt * L_x u_{t+0.5}, v) = (u_t + dt * L_y u_t + dt * f_t, v)$$
$$(u_{t+1} - dt * L_y u_{t+0.1}, v) = (u_{t+0.5} + dt * L_x u_{t+0.5} + dt * f_{t+0.5}, v)$$

We have
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{j;p}^{y}(y) = 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{l;p}^{y}(y) = 0$. Namely,

$$\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)dxdy + \int (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{i;p}^{x}(x))B_{j;p}^{y}(y)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{k;p}^{x}(x))B_{l;p}^{y}(y)$$

and we can separate directions

$$\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)dxdy + \\\int (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{i;p}^{x}(x))(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{k;p}^{x}(x))B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)$$

so our left-hand-side matrix is the Kronecker product of

$$\begin{split} [\int (B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{k;p}^{x}(x) + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{i;p}^{x}(x))(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}B_{k;p}^{x}(x)))dx] * \\ [\int (B_{j;p}^{y}(y) * B_{l;p}^{y}(y))dy] \end{split}$$

and this can be expressed as multiplication of two multi-diagonal matrices, to be factorized in a linear O(N) cost.

11/46

We can apply the same process for the second equation. Namely, the matrix of the left-hand-side $(u_{t+1}, v) - (L_y u_{t+1}, v)$ of (1) have terms

$$\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)dxdy + \\\int \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y))\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{l;p}^{y}(y))dxdy$$

Now, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{i;p}^{x}(x) = 0$ and $\frac{d}{dy}B_{k;p}^{x}(x) = 0$ we get

$$\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)dxdy + \\\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{j;p}^{y}(y))B_{k;p}^{x}(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{l;p}^{y}(y))$$

We can separate directions

$$\int B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)B_{j;p}^{y}(y)B_{l;p}^{y}(y)dxdy +$$

$$\int (B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{j;p}^{y}(y))(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{l;p}^{y}(y))$$

so our left-hand-side matrix is the Kronecker product of

$$[\int (B_{i;p}^{x}(x)B_{k;p}^{x}(x)dx]*$$

$$\left[\int (B_{j;p}^{y}(y) * B_{l;p}^{y}(y))dy + (\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{j;p}^{x}(y))(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}B_{l;p}^{y}(y))\right]$$

and this can be expressed as multiplication of two matrices, and both the first and the second matrix are multi-diagonal and can be factorized in a linear O(N) cost.

Let us consider heat equation on 2D domain

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = f \tag{1}$$

Assuming zero boundary conditons (Dirichlet or Neumann) the weak formulation is given by

$$(\partial_t u, v)_{L^2} = -(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2} + (u, f)_{L^2}$$
(2)

Let $\mathcal{B}_{ij}(x, y) = \mathcal{B}_i^x(x)\mathcal{B}_j^y(y)$ be the standard tensor product basis. We seek solution of the form

$$u(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum u^{ij}(t) \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$$

Let us denote

$$\mathbf{M} = [(\mathcal{B}_{ij}, \mathcal{B}_{kl})_{L^2}] \qquad \mathbf{S} = [(\nabla \mathcal{B}_{ij}, \nabla \mathcal{B}_{kl})_{L^2}] \qquad \mathbf{F} = [(f, \mathcal{B}_{ij})_{L^2}]$$
(3)

and

$$\mathbf{M}_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{B}_{i}^{x}, \mathcal{B}_{j}^{y})_{L^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S}_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} (\partial_{x}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{x}, \partial_{x}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{x})_{L^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

and similarly for \mathbf{M}_y , \mathbf{M}_y .

All these matrices are symmetric and positive definite, as Gram matrices of certain sets of functions.

It is straightforward to prove that

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_x \otimes \mathbf{M}_y \qquad \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_x \otimes \mathbf{M}_y + \mathbf{M}_x \otimes \mathbf{S}_y \tag{5}$$

Unconditional stability

In the implicit scheme we have

$$(u_{t+\frac{1}{2}}, v)_{L^{2}} + \frac{h}{2} (\partial_{x} u_{t+\frac{1}{2}}, \partial_{x} v)_{L^{2}} = (u_{t}, v)_{L^{2}} - \frac{h}{2} (\partial_{y} u_{t}, \partial_{y} v)_{L^{2}}$$

$$(u_{t+1}, v)_{L^{2}} + \frac{h}{2} (\partial_{y} u_{t+1}, \partial_{y} v)_{L^{2}} = (u_{t+\frac{1}{2}}, v)_{L^{2}} - \frac{h}{2} (\partial_{x} u_{t+\frac{1}{2}}, \partial_{x} v)_{L^{2}}$$

(6)

Resulting linear systems may be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} - \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{y} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{S}_{y} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M} - \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(7)$$

Unconditional stability

Since $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_x \otimes \mathbf{M}_y$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{M}_{x} + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{x} \right) \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \left(\mathbf{M}_{y} - \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{y} \right) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \left(\mathbf{M}_{y} + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{y} \right) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{M}_{x} - \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{S}_{x} \right) \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(8)

Let us denote

$$\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+} = \mathbf{M}_{x} + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{S}_{x} \qquad \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} = \mathbf{M}_{x} - \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{S}_{x}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+} = \mathbf{M}_{y} + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{S}_{y} \qquad \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} = \mathbf{M}_{y} - \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{S}_{y}$$
(9)

Then we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{+} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(10)

Unconditional stability

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{+} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(11)

and so finally, combining two steps

$$\mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \left[\mathbf{M}_x \otimes \mathbf{K}_y^+\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{K}_x^- \otimes \mathbf{M}_y\right] \left[\mathbf{K}_x^+ \otimes \mathbf{M}_y\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{M}_x \otimes \mathbf{K}_y^-\right] \mathbf{u}_t$$
(12)

This can be simplified using properties of tensor product:

•
$$(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{B}^{-1}$$

• $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$ whenever the products make sense

Using these, we conclude that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{+} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+}\right)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{y}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+}\right)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+}\right)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{M}_{x} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{x}^{-} \left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{M}_{x} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{y}^{-} \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

Using these, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{u}_{t+1} = \left[\mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{-}\left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{M}_{x}\right] \otimes \left[\left(\mathbf{K}_{y}^{+}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{y}^{-}\right] \mathbf{u}_{t}$$
(14)

Eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}$ are products of eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , so it is enough to determine eigenvalues of the above two matrices. The matrix $\left[\mathbf{M}_{x}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{-}\left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{M}_{x}\right]$ is similar to $\mathbf{K}_{x}^{-}\left(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+}\right)^{-1}$ and so it has the same eigenvalues. Furthermore, **AB** and **BA** always have the same spectrum, so \mathbf{K}_{\times}^{-} $(\mathbf{K}_{\times}^{+})^{-1}$ has the same eigenvalues as $(\mathbf{K}_{\times}^{+})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{\times}^{-1}$. So we check the eigenvalues of $(\mathbf{K}_{x}^{+})^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{x}^{-}$. By Lemma eigenvalues λ of $(\mathbf{K}_x^+)^{-1} \mathbf{K}_x^-$ and $(\mathbf{K}_y^+)^{-1} \mathbf{K}_y^$ satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$, so the single step full matrix has spectral radius <1. Thus, the implicit ADS scheme is unconditionally stable.

Lemma

Let **A**, **B** be symmetric and positive-definite. For each eigenvalue λ of $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})$ we have $|\lambda| < 1$.

Proof.

Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be such eigenvalue and let \mathbf{x} be the corresponding eigenvector. Then $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^{-1} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ and so $(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{x} = \lambda (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{x}$ and $(1 - \lambda) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = (1 + \lambda) \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$. Since \mathbf{B} is positive-definite it is nonsingular, and so $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda \neq 1$. Multiplying by \mathbf{x}^{T} on the left gives $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda} \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$. Since \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are positive definite, both products are positive, thus $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 - \lambda} > 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda^2 < 1 \iff |\lambda| < 1$

Example 3: Implicit heat transfer

Click in the middle 1000 less time steps

Unit cube deformed by short impulse applied at the corner

$$\begin{cases}
\rho \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^2} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F} \quad \text{on } \Omega \times [0, T] \\
\boldsymbol{u}(x, 0) = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{n}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}$$
(15)

where $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ is an unit cube,

u is an unknown 3-dimensional displacement vector

 ρ is material density,

f is the applied external force,

 $\sigma_{ij} = c_{ijkl}\epsilon_{lk}$ is the stress tensor where $\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j)$ and **c** is rank-4 elasticity tensor (prescribed for a given material)

Example 4: Propagation of elastic waves

 $\rho = 1$, $\mathbf{c} = 0$ except for $c_{ijij} = c_{ijji} = 1$ for i, j = 1, 2, 3, so that \mathbf{c} is positive definite and satisfies symmetry constraints stemming from its physical meaning. The force applied is given by

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\phi(t/t_0)\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{p}$$
(16)

$$\mathbf{p} = (1, 1, 1)$$
 (17)

$$t_0 = 0.02$$
 (18)

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^2(1-t)^2 & \text{if } t \in (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(19)

$$r(\mathbf{x}) = 10 \exp\left(-10 \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\|^2\right)$$
(20)

i.e. a short impulse directed towards the origin, applied at the opposite corner of the cube.

Example 4: Propagation of elastic waves

In order to utilize forward Euler integration scheme, the above system of 3 equations is converted to system of 6 equations by introducing additional variables corresponding to components of the displacement's velocity:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\partial t} \tag{21}$$

Corresponding weak formulation discretized with the Euler scheme is given by

$$\begin{cases} \langle u_i^{(t+1)}, w \rangle = \langle u_i^{(t)} + \Delta t \, v_i^{(t)}, w \rangle \\ \langle v_i^{(t+1)}, w \rangle = \langle v_i^{(t)} + \frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \left(\sigma_{ij,j} + F_i \right), w \rangle \end{cases}$$
(22)

for all $w \in H^1(\Omega)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes standard scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$, and $u_i, v_i \in H^1(\Omega)$.

```
"problems/elasticity/main.cpp"
```

```
We are going to use multiple cores
#include "ads/executor/galois.hpp"
#include "problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"
pilot for the simulation
int main() {
quadratic B-splnes, 12 elements along axis
  dim config dim{ 2, 12 };
40000 time steps, time step size 10^{-4}
  timesteps_config steps{ 40000, 1e-4 };
we will need to compute first derivatives during the computations
  int ders = 1;
some auxiliary objects for configuration and simulation
  config_3d c{dim, dim, dim, steps, ders};
  problems::linear_elasticity sim{c};
run the simulation
  sim.run();
```

"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"

```
#include <cmath>
#include "ads/simulation.hpp" Parallel loop processing
#include "ads/executor/galois.hpp" Generation of graphics
#include "ads/output manager.hpp"
namespace problems {
class linear_elasticity : public ads::simulation_3d {
struct state {
vector_type ux, uy, uz;
vector_type vx, vy, vz;
state(std::array<std::size_t, 3> shape)
   : ux{ shape }, uy{ shape }, uz{ shape }
   : vx{ shape }, vy{ shape }, vz{ shape }
   { }
1:
state now, prev;
The class to write down output for graphics
ads::output manager<3> output;
The class to parallel loop processing
ads::galois executor executor{8};
```

```
"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"
class linear_elasticity : public ads::simulation_3d
ſ
implementation of the initial state
double init_state(double x, double y, double z)
executed once before the simulation starts
void before() override
executed before every simulation step
void before step() override
implementation of the simulation step
void step() override
executed after every simulation step
void after step() override
implementation of generation of RHS
void compute_rhs() override
executed once after the simulation ends
void after() override
```

```
"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"
```

```
this function is called before every time step
void before_step(int /*iter*/, double /*t*/) override
{
    using std::swap;
swap ut and ut-1
    swap(u, u_prev);
}
```

```
this function implements every time step
void step(int /*iter*/, double /*t*/) override {
generate new RHS using u_prev
    compute_rhs();
forward and backward substitutions with multiple RHS
    for_all(now, [this](vector_type& a) { solve(a); });
}
```

```
"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"
```

```
this function is called once before the simulation starts
void before() override {
  performs LU factorization of three 1D systems, representing
  B-splines along x, y and z axes
    prepare_matrices();
    }
```

```
void compute_rhs(double t) {
for_all(now, [](vector_type& a) { zero(a); });
    executor.for_each(elements(), [&](index_type e) {
    auto local = local_contribution(e, t);
    executor.synchronized([&] {
    apply_local_contribution(local, e);
    });
});
```

"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"

void apply_local_contribution(const state& loc, index_type e) { // update_global_rhs(now.ux, loc.ux, e);

update_global_rhs(now.uy, loc.uy, e); update_global_rhs(now.uz, loc.uz, e); update_global_rhs(now.vx, loc.vx, e); update_global_rhs(now.vy, loc.vy, e); update_global_rhs(now.vz, loc.vz, e); }

```
state local_contribution(index_type e, double t) const
{
```

```
auto local=state{ local_shape()};doubleJ=jacobian(e);
for (auto q : quad_points()) {
  auto x = point(e, q); double w = weigth(q);
  value_type ux = eval_fun(prev.ux, e, q);
  value_type uy = eval_fun(prev.uy, e, q);
  value_type uz = eval_fun(prev.uz, e, q);
  value_type vx = eval_fun(prev.vx, e, q);
  value_type vy = eval_fun(prev.vy, e, q);
  value_type vz = eval_fun(prev.vz, e, q);
```

```
{ux.dx,0.5*(ux.dy+uy.dx),0.5*(ux.dz+uz.dx) },
{0.5*(ux.dy+uy.dx),uy.dy,0.5*(uy.dz+uz.dy) },
```

```
{0.5*(ux.dz+uz.dx),0.5*(uy.dz+uz.dy),uz.dz }
};
```

```
tensor s; stress_tensor(s, eps);
auto F = force(x, t); 32/46
```

```
for (auto a : dofs_on_element(e)) {
  value_type b = eval_basis(e, q, a);
  double rho = 1;
  double axb = (-s[0][0]*b.dx - s[0][1]*b.dy - s[0][2]*b.dz +
   F[0]*b.val) / rho:
  double ayb = (-s[1][0]*b.dx - s[1][1]*b.dy - s[1][2]*b.dz +
   F[1]*b.val) / rho:
  double azb = (-s[2][0]*b.dx - s[2][1]*b.dy - s[2][2]*b.dz +
   F[2]*b.val) / rho:
  double dt = steps.dt; double t2 = dt * dt / 2;
  auto aa = dof_global_to_local(e, a);
  ref(local.ux, aa) += ((ux.val+dt*vx.val)*b.val + t2*axb) *w*J;
  ref(local.uy, aa) += ((uy.val+dt*vy.val)*b.val + t2*ayb) *w*J;
  ref(local.uz, aa) += ((uz.val+dt*vz.val)*b.val + t2*azb) *w*J;
  ref(local.vx, aa) += (vx.val * b.val + dt * axb) * w*J;
  ref(local.vy, aa) += (vy.val * b.val + dt * ayb) * w*J;
  ref(local.vz, aa) += (vz.val * b.val + dt * azb) * w*J;
```

"problems/elasticity/elasticity.hpp"

```
void after_step(int iter, double t) override {
if (iter % 100 == 0) {
  double Ek = kinetic_energy();
  double Ep = potential_energy();
  compute_potential_energy();
  output.to file("out %d.vti", iter,
    output.evaluate(now.ux),
    output.evaluate(now.uy),
    output.evaluate(now.uz),
    output.evaluate(energy));
  }
```

Example 4: Propagation of elastic waves

Click in the middle

We solve linear elasticity problem given by

$$\begin{cases}
\rho \,\partial_{tt} \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F} \quad \text{on } \Omega \times [0, T] \\
\boldsymbol{u}(x, 0) = u_0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{n}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega
\end{cases}$$
(23)

where $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$ is an unit cube, **u** is a 3-dimensional displacement vector to be calculated, ρ is material density, **F** is the applied external force, and σ is the Cauchy stress tensor, given by

$$\sigma_{ij} = c_{ijkl}\epsilon_{lk}, \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_j u_i + \partial_i u_j \right) \tag{24}$$

and **c** is the elasticity tensor.

The above second-order system can be converted to system of 6 first-order equations by introducing additional variable $\mathbf{v} = \partial_t \mathbf{u}$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \\ \rho \partial_t \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{F} \end{cases}$$
(25)

We assume an isotropic elastic material and thus the Lame parameters,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 2\mu\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \lambda\operatorname{tr}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{I} \tag{26}$$

thus

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 2\mu (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) + \lambda \, \nabla \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tag{27}$$

Furthermore,

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\partial_{xx}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} & + \partial_{yy}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} + \partial_{yx}\boldsymbol{u}_{y} & + \partial_{zz}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} + \partial_{zx}\boldsymbol{u}_{z} \\ \partial_{xx}\boldsymbol{u}_{y} + \partial_{xy}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} & + 2\partial_{yy}\boldsymbol{u}_{y} & + \partial_{zz}\boldsymbol{u}_{y} + \partial_{zy}\boldsymbol{u}_{z} \\ \partial_{xx}\boldsymbol{u}_{z} + \partial_{xz}\boldsymbol{u}_{x} & + \partial_{yy}\boldsymbol{u}_{z} + \partial_{yz}\boldsymbol{u}_{y} & + 2\partial_{zz}\boldsymbol{u}_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(28)$$

and

$$\nabla \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{xx} \boldsymbol{u}_{x} + \partial_{xy} \boldsymbol{u}_{y} + \partial_{xz} \boldsymbol{u}_{z} \\ \partial_{yx} \boldsymbol{u}_{x} + \partial_{yy} \boldsymbol{u}_{y} + \partial_{yz} \boldsymbol{u}_{z} \\ \partial_{zx} \boldsymbol{u}_{x} + \partial_{zy} \boldsymbol{u}_{y} + \partial_{zz} \boldsymbol{u}_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$
(29)

We split every timestep into three substeps

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} = \mathbf{u}^{(t)} + \frac{dt}{3} \mathbf{v}^{(t)} \\ \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} = \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t)} + \frac{dt}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} + \mathbf{F} \right) \end{cases}$$
(30)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} = \mathbf{u}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} + \frac{dt}{3} \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} \\ \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} = \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} + \frac{dt}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} + \mathbf{F} \right) \end{cases}$$
(31)

Direction splitting for linear elasticity

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} + \frac{dt}{3} \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} \\ \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t+1)} = \rho \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} + \frac{dt}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(t+1)} + \mathbf{F} \right) \end{cases}$$
(32)

and $\sigma^{(t+rac{k}{3})}$ are constructed using

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(t+\frac{k-1}{3})} + \frac{dt}{3} \mathbf{v}^{(t+\frac{k-1}{3})}$$

in most places, except when u_i appears inside *i*-th component of $\nabla \cdot \sigma$ under a double derivative with respect to x (k = 1), y(k = 2) or z (k = 3).

Direction splitting for linear elasticity

These cases are marked in equations (28) and (29) with red, brown, and blue color, respectively. In other words, we separate the operator into its diagonal part and the off-diagonal part, the diagonal part we treat implicitly, while the remainder we treat explicitly. After moving all the terms with values to be computed to the left-hand side, substep equations have the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho \, v_x^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} - \frac{dt}{3} (\lambda + 2\mu) \, \partial_{xx} \, v_x^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_y^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} - \frac{dt}{3} \mu \, \partial_{xx} \, v_y^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_z^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} - \frac{dt}{3} \mu \, \partial_{xx} \, v_z^{(t+\frac{1}{3})} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_x^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} - \frac{dt}{3} \mu \, \partial_{yy} \, v_x^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_y^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} - \frac{dt}{3} (\lambda + 2\mu) \, \partial_{yy} \, v_y^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_z^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} - \frac{dt}{2} \mu \, \partial_{yy} \, v_z^{(t+\frac{2}{3})} &= \dots \end{cases}$$
(34)

$$\begin{cases} \rho \, v_x^{(t+1)} - \frac{dt}{3} \mu \, \partial_{zz} \, v_x^{(t+1)} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_y^{(t+1)} - \frac{dt}{3} \mu \, \partial_{zz} \, v_y^{(t+1)} &= \dots \\ \rho \, v_z^{(t+1)} - \frac{dt}{3} (\lambda + 2\mu) \, \partial_{zz} \, v_z^{(t+1)} &= \dots \end{cases}$$
(35)

This formulation is then transformed into a sequence of isogeometric projections in a standard way.

Example 4: Propagation of elastic waves

Click in the middle 10 times less time steps

Example 5: Pollution from a chimney with a wind

We seek the pollution density scalar field $c: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such as:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla c - \nabla \cdot (K \nabla c) = e & \text{on } \Omega \times [0, T] \\ \nabla c \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, T] \\ c(\mathbf{x}, 0) = c_0(\mathbf{x}) & \text{on } \Omega \end{cases}$$
(36)

where $\Omega = [0, 1]^3$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is a normal vector of the domain boundary, T is a length of the time interval for the simulation, u is the prescribed wind, e is the prescribed emission from the chimney, K is the diffusion, and c_0 is an initial state.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= 5km \times 5km \times 5km \\ \text{Mesh size} &= 100 \times 100 \times 100 \\ \text{Wind} &= F * (cosa(t), sina(t), v(t)) \text{ where } \\ a(t) &= \pi/3(sin(s) + 0.5sin(2.3s)) + 3/8\pi \\ v(t) &= 1/3sin(s) \\ s &= t/150 \\ \text{chimney } e(p) &= (r-1)^2(r+1)^2 \text{ where } r = min(1, (|p-p_0|/25)^2) \\ p_0 &= (3000, 2000, 2000) \\ \text{Diffusion } K &= (50, 50, 0.5) \\ \text{We run 300 time steps of the implicit method} \end{aligned}$$

Example 5: Pollution from a chimney with a wind

Click in the middle