



Proper distinguishing arc-colourings of symmetric digraphs

Rafał Kalinowski*, Monika Piłśniak

AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 July 2021

Revised 3 January 2022

Accepted 8 January 2022

MSC:

05C25

05E18

68R10

Keywords:

Automorphism

Symmetry breaking

Distinguishing chromatic index

ABSTRACT

A symmetric digraph \vec{G} arises from a simple graph G by substituting each edge uv by a pair of opposite arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{vu} . An arc-colouring c of \vec{G} is distinguishing if the only automorphism of \vec{G} preserving c is the identity. We study four types of proper arc-colourings of \vec{G} corresponding to four definitions of adjacency of arcs. For each type, we investigate the distinguishing chromatic index of \vec{G} , i.e. the least number of colours in a distinguishing proper colouring of \vec{G} . We also determine tight bounds for chromatic indices of \vec{G} , i.e. for the least numbers of colours in each type of proper colourings. Colourings of arcs of a symmetric digraph \vec{G} are equivalent to colourings of halfedges of the graph G , which have applications in computer science.

© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We use standard graph theory notation. By $[k]$ we denote the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ of k smallest positive integers. Throughout, we consider only connected graphs.

A colouring c of a graph is called *distinguishing* (or *asymmetric*) if the only automorphism preserving c is the identity. The first papers on distinguishing vertex-colourings were published by Babai [2] in 1977 and Cameron [4] in 1986. However, a special interest in these issues began with a seminal paper [1] of Albertson and Collins in 1996. They introduced the definition of the *distinguishing number* of a graph as the least number of colours in a general, i.e. not necessarily proper, distinguishing vertex-colouring. Their paper spawned much more than a hundred papers on symmetry breaking in graphs by various types of colourings. In 2006, Collins and Trenk in [5] initiated investigations of proper distinguishing vertex-colourings. They defined the *chromatic distinguishing number* $\chi_D(G)$ of a graph G as the least number of colours in a proper distinguishing vertex-colouring of G .

In 2015, Kalinowski and Piłśniak in [11] introduced the *distinguishing index* $D'(G)$ of a graph G as the least number of colours in a general distinguishing edge-colouring of G , not necessarily proper. In the same paper, its counterpart for proper colourings called the *chromatic distinguishing index*, denoted by $\chi'_D(G)$ was also defined. Clearly, both invariants are defined for any connected graph except for K_2 .

Total distinguishing colourings, both general and proper, were later studied by Kalinowski, Piłśniak and Woźniak in [14]. In the present paper, we initiate investigations of proper distinguishing arc-colourings of symmetric digraphs. By \vec{G} we denote a symmetric digraph obtained from a simple graph G by replacing each edge uv by a pair of opposite arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{vu} .

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kalinows@agh.edu.pl (R. Kalinowski), pilsniak@agh.edu.pl (M. Piłśniak).

A definition of proper arc-colourings of a digraph depends on a definition of adjacent arcs. There are four digraphs $A_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$, with two arcs having at least one vertex in common:

- 2-cycle A_1 with arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{vu} ,
- 2-path A_2 with arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{vw} ,
- source A_3 with arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{uw} ,
- sink A_4 with arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{wv} .

Thus, there are 15 possible definitions of a proper colouring of a digraph since there are 15 possible definitions of adjacency of arcs corresponding to non-empty forbidden monochromatic subsets of the set of the four digraphs $A_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$. We denote by $\chi'_i(\vec{G})$ the *chromatic index* of a symmetric digraph \vec{G} , i.e. the least number of colours in a proper arc-colouring of \vec{G} , when “proper” means “without monochromatic digraph A_i ”. We also use the notation $\chi'_{i,j}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{i,j,k}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{i,j,k,l}(\vec{G})$ if more monochromatic two-arc digraphs are forbidden.

It has to be noted that so far, only two types of proper arc-colourings of digraphs were studied in literature. An arc-colouring of a digraph is called proper of type I if there are neither monochromatic 2-cycles nor 2-paths. Poljak and Rödl [18] proved a notable result stating that

$$\chi'_{1,2}(\vec{G}) = \min \left\{ k : \chi(G) \leq \binom{k}{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \right\}$$

for every graph G . An arc-coloring is proper of type II if there are neither monochromatic sources nor sinks. It is well known that $\chi'_{3,4}(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G)$ (cf. [15,20]).

Determining chromatic indices corresponding other types of proper arc-colourings is sometimes easy, but not always. For instance, it can be easily seen, using the correspondence between colourings of the symmetric digraph \vec{G} and the subdivision \widehat{G} described in the next section, that the chromatic index $\chi'_{1,2,3}(\vec{G})$ equals the incidence chromatic number of the graph G , introduced by Brualdi and Massey [3] in 1993. No general sharp upper bound for the incidence chromatic number is known. For the current state of art, see the homepage of Éric Sopena [19]. Similarly, $\chi'_{D_i}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_{i,j}}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_{i,j,k}}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_{i,j,k,l}}(\vec{G})$ stands for the *chromatic distinguishing index* of \vec{G} , i.e. the least number of colours in a distinguishing proper arc-colouring, where the indicated two-arc digraphs cannot be monochromatic.

In this paper, we determine the values of $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_3}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_4}(\vec{G}), \chi'_{D_{1,3,4}}(\vec{G})$. In each case, we also determine the corresponding chromatic index of \vec{G} . We settle the other types of proper arc-colourings in another papers [12,13].

2. Preliminaries

Let us first show a correspondence between arc-colourings of a symmetric digraph \vec{G} and edge-colourings of a subdivision of the graph G . By \widehat{G} we denote a *subdivision* of G , i.e. a graph obtained by replacing each edge uv of G by a path uxv of length two. A colouring of \widehat{G} can be also described as a colouring of the two *halfedges* of each edge of G , and the problems we consider here have applications in computer science, where sometimes it is necessary to break all non-trivial symmetries of networks of anonymous ports or nodes by colouring endings of edges (cf. [6,7]).

Clearly, $\text{Aut}(\vec{G}) = \text{Aut}(G)$. If G is a cycle C_n , then $\widehat{G} = C_{2n}$. Hence, $\text{Aut}(C_n)$ is the dihedral group of order $2n$, while $\text{Aut}(\widehat{C}_n)$ is a dihedral group of order $4n$, so $\text{Aut}(C_n)$ is isomorphic to a proper subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{C}_n)$.

Proposition 1. *If a connected graph G is not a cycle, then*

$$\text{Aut}(\vec{G}) \cong \text{Aut}(\widehat{G}) \cong \text{Aut}(G).$$

Proof. Clearly, $\text{Aut}(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{G})$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{G})$. If G is not a cycle, then either G is a path or $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. Then \widehat{G} contains a vertex v_0 of degree $d(v_0) \neq 2$. Hence, $\varphi(v_0) \in V(G)$. Obviously, a vertex $u \in V(\widehat{G})$ belongs to $V(G)$ if and only if the distance between u and v_0 is even. Every automorphism preserves the distance between vertices, so each vertex of G is mapped by φ into a vertex of G . That is, the restriction of φ to $V(G)$ is an automorphism of G . \square

We apply the following one-to-one correspondence between arcs of \vec{G} and edges of \widehat{G} . Let uv be an edge of G , and let $x \in V(\widehat{G}) \setminus V(G)$ be adjacent to u and v in \widehat{G} . Then the arc \vec{uv} corresponds to the edge ux . Given an arc-colouring c of \vec{G} , we define the edge colouring \widehat{c} of \widehat{G} by setting $\widehat{c}(ux) = c(\vec{uv})$. In view of Proposition 1, the following lemma is obvious, and it clearly holds also for cycles.

Lemma 2. *An arc-colouring c of \vec{G} is distinguishing if and only if the corresponding edge-colouring \widehat{c} is a distinguishing colouring of \widehat{G} . \square*

Given an arc-colouring c of \vec{G} , we say that a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is *fixed* if $\varphi(v) = v$ for every automorphism of \vec{G} preserving c . The following observation is very useful in some cases of proper arc-colourings.

Lemma 3. *Let c be an arc-colouring of \vec{G} without monochromatic sources or sinks. If there exists a fixed vertex, then c is a distinguishing colouring.*

Proof. Suppose that there are no monochromatic sources in a colouring c . Let v be a fixed vertex. Every arc outgoing from v has a distinct colour, and therefore each neighbour of v is also fixed. Then the claim follows by induction of the distance from v .

We argue analogously, if monochromatic sinks are forbidden. \square

In the next section we use some known results concerning the distinguishing index of simple graphs.

Theorem 4. ([11]) *If G is a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$, then*

$$D'(G) \leq \Delta(G)$$

unless G is a cycle of length at most five.

A tree is *symmetric* (resp. *bisymmetric*) if it has a central vertex v_c (resp. a central edge e_c), all leaves are of the same distance from v_c (resp. e_c) and every vertex that is not a leaf has the same degree.

Theorem 5. ([17]) *Let G be a connected graph with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. Then $D'(G) \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ unless G is either a symmetric or bisymmetric tree, or G is K_4 or $K_{3,3}$.*

Theorem 6. ([10]) *If G is a connected graph without pendant edges, then*

$$D'(G) \leq \lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1.$$

3. Proper arc-colourings

3.1. Forbidden monochromatic 2-cycles

Trivially, $\chi'_1(\vec{G}) = 2$ by putting the same pair of distinct colours on each 2-cycle. However, $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G})$ can be arbitrarily large.

Proposition 7. *For every symmetric tree T ,*

$$\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{T}) = \min\{k : \Delta(T) \leq k(k-1)\}.$$

Proof. Let T be a symmetric tree with a central vertex v_0 . By Theorem 5, $D'(T) = \Delta(T)$. Let $c : E(T) \rightarrow [\Delta(T)]$ be a distinguishing colouring. Denote $k_0 = \min\{k : \Delta(T) \leq k(k-1)\}$, and $K = [k_0]^2 \setminus \{(j, j) : j \in [k_0]\}$. Thus, there is an injection $\iota : [\Delta(T)] \rightarrow K$.

Let $e = uv$ be any edge of T such that the vertex u lies on the path between v_0 and v . If $\iota(c(uv)) = (i, j)$, then we put colour i on the arc \vec{uv} , and colour j on the opposite arc \vec{vu} to obtain an arc-colouring c' of \vec{T} . The colouring c' is obviously distinguishing since c was a distinguishing edge-colouring of T . Hence, $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{T}) \leq k_0$.

On the other hand, suppose that there exists a distinguishing arc-colouring c' of \vec{T} with less than k_0 colours. Every edge uv of T , such that u lies on a path from v_0 to v , can be coloured by a pair (i, j) , where $i = c'(\vec{uv})$ and $j = c'(\vec{vu})$. This yields a distinguishing colouring of T with less than $\Delta(T)$ colours. This contradicts the fact that $D'(T) = \Delta(T)$. \square

Here is an upper bound for $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G})$ with respect to the maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ of the underlying graph G .

Proposition 8. *For every connected graph G ,*

$$\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) \leq \lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1.$$

Proof. Suppose first that the graph is a tree T . Then by Theorem 4, $D'(T) \leq \Delta(T)$, whence $D'(T) \leq k(k-1)$ for $k = \lceil \sqrt{\Delta(T)} \rceil + 1$. If the tree T has a central vertex, then, using the same method as in the proof of Proposition 7, we construct a distinguishing arc-colouring of \vec{T} with at most $\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(T)} \rceil + 1$ colours. If the tree T has a central edge $e_0 = u_0v_0$, then we colour the pair of arcs between u_0 and v_0 with a pair of distinct colours, thus fixing both vertices u_0, v_0 . Each of the two subtrees of $T - e_0$ has the distinguishing number less than $\Delta(T)$, and we can analogously construct a distinguishing arc-colouring of \vec{T} with $\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(T)} \rceil + 1$ colours.

Now, consider any connected graph G with a cycle. Consider the maximal subgraph G' of G with $\delta(G') \geq 2$, i.e. the subgraph G' obtained by deleting all pendant subtrees of G . By Theorem 6, there exists a distinguishing colouring c of G' with $\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1$ colours. Since $\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1 \geq 3$, we have

$$\binom{\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1}{2} \geq \lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1,$$

hence to each colour in c we can assign a distinct 2-element subset $\{i, j\}$ of the set of integers $[\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1]$. For each edge uv of G' , we colour the pair of opposite arcs \vec{uv}, \vec{vu} with two pairs $(i, j), (j, i)$, where $\{i, j\}$ is the set assigned to $c(uv)$. This way, we obtain an arc-colouring c' of G' such that $V(G')$ is fixed pointwise by every automorphism of G preserving c' .

To complete the proof, it suffices to extend the colouring c' to a distinguishing colouring for every maximal pendant subtree T of G . As we have already shown at the beginning of the proof, this can be done with $\lceil \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \rceil + 1$ colours. \square

The bound in Proposition 8 is tight for infinitely many values of $\Delta(G)$, since by Proposition 7, it is achieved by infinitely many symmetric trees with maximum degree Δ . Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$\min \{k : \Delta \leq k(k - 1)\} \in \{\lceil \sqrt{\Delta} \rceil, \lceil \sqrt{\Delta} \rceil + 1\},$$

and both values are achieved with asymptotically equal frequency, as Δ tends to infinity. In view of Theorem 4, we suppose that the following generalization of Proposition 7 holds.

Conjecture 9. For every connected graph G ,

$$\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) \leq \min \{k : D'(G) \leq k(k - 1)\}.$$

For $D'(G) = 2$, this conjecture, if true, would imply that every graph with $D'(G) \leq 2$ has an asymmetric orientation, that is, one can substitute each edge of G with an arc such that the resulting oriented graph had trivial automorphism group. Indeed, we would then have $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) = 2$, and the arcs in one colour would create an asymmetric orientation of G . Let us mention that the problem of the existence of asymmetric orientations for some classes of graphs was investigated by Harary et al. [8,9] and by Meslem and Sopena [16]. Conjecture 9 is true for bipartite graphs and for traceable graphs. Recall that Piłśniak [17] proved that $D'(G) \leq 2$ for every traceable graph G of order $n \geq 7$, and $D'(G) \leq 3$ for graphs of smaller orders.

Proposition 10. If G is a connected bipartite graph, then

$$\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) \leq \min \{k : D'(G) \leq k(k - 1)\}.$$

Proof. Let A and B be two independent sets of a bipartition of the graph G , and let c be a distinguishing edge-colouring of G with the set $[D'(G)]$ of colours. Denote $k_0 = \min\{k : D'(G) \leq k(k - 1)\}$. Let

$$\iota : [D'(G)] \longrightarrow [k_0]^2 \setminus \{(j, j) : j \in [k_0]\}$$

be an injection.

For every edge uv of G with $u \in A$ and $v \in B$, we colour the two arcs between u and v as follows. If $\iota(c(uv)) = (i, j)$, then we colour the arc \vec{uv} with i and the arc \vec{vu} with j . Let φ be any automorphism of \vec{G} preserving our colouring. Then φ also preserves the colouring c of G , unless there exist two edges $uv, u'v'$ such that $\iota(c(uv)) = (i, j)$ and $\iota(c(u'v')) = (j, i)$, $\varphi(u) = v'$ and $\varphi(v) = u'$. However, since G is bipartite and connected, then either all vertices of A are mapped by φ into A or all of them are mapped into B . Therefore, the above situation is not possible. Hence, φ is the identity automorphism. Consequently, $\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) \leq k_0(k_0 - 1)$. \square

Proposition 11. If G is a traceable graph, then

$$\chi'_{D_1}(\vec{G}) \leq 2.$$

Proof. Let $P = v_1 \dots v_n$ be a Hamilton path of G . For $i < j$, we colour each arc $\vec{v_i v_j}$ of \vec{G} with colour 1, and each arc $\vec{v_j v_i}$ with colour 2. Then v_1 is the only vertex with all outgoing arcs coloured with 1, so it is fixed. Moreover, P is the only directed Hamilton path of \vec{G} coloured with 1, so each vertex of P , and thus each of \vec{G} , is also fixed. \square

3.2. Forbidden monochromatic either sources or sinks

We begin with determining the chromatic distinguishing index of \vec{G} in each of the two cases.

Proposition 12. If G is a connected graph, then

$$\chi'_3(\vec{G}) = \chi'_4(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G).$$

Proof. The subdivision \widehat{G} is a bipartite graph, hence it admits a proper edge-colouring \widehat{c} with $\Delta(\widehat{G}) = \Delta(G)$ colours, by König's theorem. The corresponding arc-colouring c of \vec{G} does not have monochromatic sources. Hence, $\chi'_3(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G)$.

If monochromatic sinks are not allowed, then we reverse the arcs in \vec{G} coloured by c to obtain an arc-colouring without monochromatic sinks. Thus, $\chi'_4(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G)$. \square

Now we show that every symmetric digraph \vec{G} admits a proper distinguishing arc-colouring with the minimum number of colours, whenever G is connected.

Theorem 13. For every connected graph G ,

$$\chi'_{D_3}(\vec{G}) = \chi'_{D_4}(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G).$$

Proof. Suppose first that sources cannot be monochromatic. By König's theorem, the subdivision \widehat{G} , being a bipartite graph, admits a proper edge-colouring \widehat{c} with $\Delta(G)$ colours. Clearly, \widehat{c} need not be distinguishing. Observe that, for every vertex $x \in V(\widehat{G}) \setminus V(G)$, both edges of \widehat{G} incident to x have distinct colours. However, this is not required in this type of proper colouring, and we shall use this fact to obtain a distinguishing colouring by exchanging colours of some edges.

We consider Kempe chains with respect to \widehat{c} , that is, connected components of subgraphs induced by edges coloured by \widehat{c} with two given colours. Suppose first that there exists a Kempe chain which is a cycle C . Clearly, C is of length at least six. We exchange colours of two adjacent edges xv, vy in C , where $v \in V(G)$, thus obtaining a colouring c . Now, v is the only vertex adjacent in \widehat{G} to two monochromatic 2-cycles. Hence, v is fixed by every automorphism of \widehat{G} preserving the corresponding colouring c which is still proper. By Lemma 3, c is distinguishing.

Suppose then that every Kempe chain is a path. There always exists in \widehat{G} a Kempe path of length at least three, in particular the one containing a vertex of maximum degree, since all colours of \widehat{c} appear on its incident edges. Let P be such a path with an end-vertex $u \in V(G)$, and let v be a vertex of distance two on P . We exchange the colours of the two edges of P incident to v . Thus v becomes a unique vertex of \widehat{G} incident to arcs in both colours of P , one of which on a monochromatic 2-cycle. Thus v is fixed. If P has both end-vertices in $V(\widehat{G}) \setminus V(G)$ and is of length at least six, then we exchange the colours of the last two edges of P with a common vertex $v \in V(G)$. For the same reason as in the previous case, v is fixed. In both cases, we obtain a proper distinguishing colouring of \widehat{G} , by Lemma 3.

Thus we are left with a situation, when all Kempe paths of \widehat{c} in \widehat{G} are of length four and have both ends in $V(\widehat{G}) \setminus V(G)$. Let $P = xvwyz$ be such a Kempe path and let u be the other neighbour of x in \widehat{G} . If there is no edge incident to u coloured with $\widehat{c}(vy)$, then we recolour the edge xu with $\widehat{c}(vy)$. Otherwise, if there is such an edge, say ut , then we exchange colours of xu and ut . In both cases, we obtain a longer Kempe path, and we proceed as previously. Note that in the latter case, the exchange of colours may create a monochromatic path of length two incident to u , but it does not matter for the next procedure since this would be a colour different from those of P .

If the monochromatic sinks are forbidden, then we take a distinguishing colouring of \widehat{G} constructed above and reverse the arcs. \square

3.3. Forbidden monochromatic 2-cycles, sources and sinks

In this subsection, we determine both invariants $\chi'_{1,3,4}(\vec{G})$ and $\chi'_{D_{1,3,4}}(\vec{G})$ for connected graphs G .

Theorem 14. For every connected graph G ,

$$\Delta(G) \leq \chi'_{1,3,4}(\vec{G}) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Moreover, $\chi'_{1,3,4}(\vec{G}) = \Delta(G)$ whenever $\Delta(G)$ is even.

Proof. We add a matching M between vertices of odd degrees, thus obtaining an Eulerian multigraph $G + M$. We take an Eulerian tour W and fix its orientation. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. We define a bipartite multigraph $G' = (X, Y, E)$ as follows: $X = V(G)$, $Y = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ and $v_i v'_j \in E$ if $\vec{v_i v_j}$ is an oriented edge of W . By König's theorem, which is also valid for multigraphs, G' has a proper edge-colouring with $\Delta(G') = \Delta(G + M)/2 = \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil$ colours. The k -th colour class corresponds in G to a subgraph H_k with maximum degree at most two, for $k \in [\lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil]$.

For each k , we fix an orientation of each component of H_k (which is a cycle or a path) and colour the arcs of \vec{H}_k according to the fixed orientation with colour $2k - 1$, while the opposite arcs get colour $2k$. Thus we obtain a proper colouring of \vec{G} with $2\lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ colours. \square

For odd Δ , there are infinitely many graphs G with $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ and $\chi'_{1,3,4}(\vec{G}) = \Delta + 1$. This is the case, for instance, for a Δ -regular graph G having a bridge xy (clearly, such graphs exist for every odd Δ). Indeed, in any colouring of arcs of \vec{G} with Δ colours without monochromatic sources and sinks, each arc of \vec{G} belongs to a monochromatic directed cycle. The only possible monochromatic cycle for arcs between x and y is the 2-cycle between them. Thus, we need an extra colour to avoid monochromatic 2-cycles.

Theorem 15. If G is a connected graph, then

$$\Delta(G) \leq \chi'_{D_{1,3,4}}(\vec{G}) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\Delta(G) \leq \chi'_{1,3,4}(\vec{G}) \leq \chi'_{D_{1,3,4}}(\vec{G})$. If $\Delta(G)$ is even, then \vec{G} admits a proper colouring with $\Delta(G)$ colours. We simply put an extra colour on a certain arc. Hence, both ends of this arc are fixed. Then each vertex of \vec{G} is fixed, by Lemma 3.

Let $\Delta(G)$ be odd. We select an edge $uv \in E(G)$ which lies on a cycle C , and we join u and v by additional edge uv . When G does not contain a cycle, i.e. G is a tree, then we earlier add an edge between two pendant vertices of G to create a cycle. This way we get a multigraph G_1 with a double edge uv . Next, we add a matching M between vertices of odd degrees in G_1 to obtain an Eulerian multigraph $G_1 + M$. We pick an oriented Euler tour W of $G_1 + M$ such that both edges between u

and v are traversed in the same direction. To see that such a tour W exists, start it with one edge from u to v , and traverse the cycle C until we again reach v through the other edge uv . Then we continue our tour as long as possible. If we do not complete an Euler tour this way, then we break our tour in a suitable vertex (perhaps several times) and complete it to an Euler tour without changing the direction of edges of previous parts.

Next, we proceed as in the proof of [Theorem 14](#). The bipartite multigraph G' with a double edge uv' admits a proper edge-colourings with $\lceil (\Delta(G) + 1)/2 \rceil$ colours. Thus the double edge uv' gets two distinct colours, say 1 and 2. We consider the corresponding colouring of the graph G . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the edge uv gets colour 1. Again, for $k = 1, \dots, \lceil \Delta(G)/2 \rceil$, we fix an orientation of each component of the colour class H_k and colour its arcs with colour $2k - 1$. The opposite arcs of \vec{H}_k we colour with $2k$. Thus we obtain a proper arc-colouring of \vec{G} . Now, we take the arc between u and v which is coloured with 2, and recolour it with 3. The colouring remains proper since the double edge uv' of G' got colours 1 and 2. Consequently, the pair \vec{uv}, \vec{vu} creates the only 2-cycle coloured with the pair 1,3, therefore both u and v are fixed. By [Lemma 3](#), this colouring is distinguishing. \square

The left-hand side equality is achieved by trees, and the right-hand side by cycles.

References

- [1] M.O. Albertson, K.L. Collins, Symmetry breaking in graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (1996) R18.
- [2] L. Babai, Asymmetric trees with two prescribed degrees, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 29 (1977) 193–200.
- [3] R. Brualdi, J. Massey, Incidence and strong edge colorings of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 122 (1993) 51–58.
- [4] P. Cameron, Regular orbits of permutation groups on the power set, *Discrete Math.* 62 (1986) 307–309.
- [5] K.L. Collins, A.N. Trenk, The distinguishing chromatic number, *Electron. J. Combin.* 13 (2006) R16.
- [6] D. Dereniowski, A. Kosowski, D. Pająk, Distinguishing views in symmetric networks: a tight lower bound, *Theor. Computer Sci.* 582 (2015) 27–34.
- [7] M. Fernández, H. Kirchner, B. Pinaud, Labelled port graph - a formal structure for models and computations, *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.* 338 (2018) 3–21.
- [8] F. Harary, M. Jacobson, Destroying symmetry by orienting edges: complete graphs and complete bigraphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 21 (2001) 149–158.
- [9] F. Harary, D. Ranjan, Identity orientation of complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 290 (2005) 173–182.
- [10] W. Imrich, R. Kalinowski, M. Piłśniak, M. Woźniak, The distinguishing index of connected graphs without pendant edges, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 18 (1) (2020) 117–126.
- [11] R. Kalinowski, M. Piłśniak, Distinguishing graphs by edge-colourings, *European J. Combin.* 45 (2015) 124–131.
- [12] R. Kalinowski, M. Piłśniak, M. Prorok, Distinguishing arc-colourings of symmetric digraphs, manuscript.
- [13] R. Kalinowski, M. Piłśniak, M. Prorok, Distinguishing symmetric digraphs by proper arc-colourings without monochromatic 2-paths, manuscript.
- [14] R. Kalinowski, M. Piłśniak, M. Woźniak, Distinguishing graphs by total colourings, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 11 (1) (2016) 79–89.
- [15] H. Li, Y. Bai, W. He, Q. Sun, Vertex-distinguishing proper arc colorings of digraphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 209 (2016) 276–286.
- [16] K. Meslem, E. Sopena, Distinguishing numbers and distinguishing indices of oriented graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 285 (2020) 330–342.
- [17] M. Piłśniak, Improving upper bounds for the distinguishing index, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 13 (2017) 259–274.
- [18] S. Poljak, V. Rödl, On the arc-chromatic number of a digraph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 31 (1981) 190–198.
- [19] E. Sopena, The incidence coloring page, <https://www.labri.fr/perso/sopena/pmwiki/index.php?n=TheIncidenceColoringPage>.
- [20] D. West, Introduction to graph theory, Prentice Hall N. J., 1996.