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Regions of high landslide risk

• Landslides & other mass movement are ubiquitous
• Promoted by
  – appropriate lithology
  – steep/elevated terrain
  – heavy and intense precipitation
  – earthquakes
• Vulnerability increased by
  – increasing population density
  – use of marginal land
  – rapid land-use change
  – global warming
• Most vulnerable regions
  – Pacific rim (e.g. Japan, Peru, Taiwan, California)
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Landslide hazard impacts

- Injury & loss of life
- Property damage & communication problems
- Social & economic disruption
- Loss of productive land
- Annual economic losses
  - USA >2 billion US$
  - Japan ~4 billion US$
- 1999 Venezuela debris flows ~ 50,000 dead
  - 10 billion US$
  - 10.2% of GDP
- 2000 Swiss & Italian landslides and debris flows ~ 8.5 billion US$
# Slope stability

Major slope-instability related catastrophes of the 20th century

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Casualties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansu (China)</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Loess flow</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gros Ventre (Wyoming)</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Rockslide</td>
<td>~40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison (Montana)</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>Rockslide</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaiont (Italy)</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Rockslide</td>
<td>2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberfan (Wales)</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>Debris-slide</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huascaran (Peru)</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevado del Ruiz (Colombia)</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casitas (Nicaragua)</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
<td>+2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>+20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss/Italian Alps</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Types of mass movement

• Landslide: loose term that encompasses wide range of gravity-dominated mass movement processes that transport material downslope

• 3 main categories of mass movement:
  – Falls
  – Flows
  – Slides

• All three can involve rock, debris, or soil

Gros Ventre (Wyoming)
### Slope stability

Classification of mass movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Rock</th>
<th>Debris</th>
<th>Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>Rock fall</td>
<td>Debris fall</td>
<td>Soil fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topple</td>
<td>Rock topple</td>
<td>Debris topple</td>
<td>Soil topple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational</td>
<td>Rock slide</td>
<td>Debris slide</td>
<td>Soil slide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translational</td>
<td>Block slide</td>
<td>Block slide</td>
<td>Slab slide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>Rock spread</td>
<td>Debris spread</td>
<td>Soil spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>Rock flow</td>
<td>Debris flow</td>
<td>Soil flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rock avalanche</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(a) weathered rock

(b) stress relief joints daylighting

(c) daylighting not daylighting

(d) graben
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(h) wave or river undercutting

(g) hazards from below

(e) slide

(hazards from above)
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Fig. 9.5 The method of slices.
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Why mass movement occurs

- Mass movement occurs wherever a slope is steepened beyond its threshold angle of stability
- The steepest angle at which a slope can maintain itself
- At higher angles a slope will restore stability by failing
- A slope can be destabilized by external (exogenic) and internal (endogenic) factors
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Exogenic destabilising factors

• slope steepening or heightening
  – erosion
  – tectonism (faulting, uplift)
  – human activities (grading)

• removing lateral or underlying support
  – river erosion
  – cutting construction

• slope loading
  – construction
  – previous mass movement
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Endogenic destabilising factors

- Weathering
  - weakens slope material and reduced its resistance to gravity-induced movement

- Vegetation loss
  - reduced binding effect of plant roots; may account for 90% of stability of some slopes

- Soil saturation
  - due to vegetation loss or increased run-off due to urbanisation
  - results in elevated pore water pressure that exerts a positive internal force
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The mechanics of instability development

• All slopes under constant stress due to gravity
• Exogenic and endogenic factors together
  – change the balance of forces acting on a slope allowing stress (driving force) to overcome material strength of the slope (resisting force)
• Once this happens a slope will fail and start to move
• MATERIAL STRENGTH (Shear Strength) = maximum resistance to shear stress. Depends on 2 factors:
  – Internal cohesion (depends on weight above)
  – Internal friction (determines angle of rest)
• Exogenic factors lead to an increase in shear stress
• Endogenic factors lead to a reduction in shear strength (shear resistance)
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Relationship between driving & resisting force

Weight of a block (W) resolved at an angle ($\alpha$) parallel to the slope, creates a shear stress or driving force (D)

Sliding is resisted by the shear strength (S) - a function of the cohesion of the material and the static friction between block & slide plane, which increases as the normal force (N) increases

The block will remain in place as long as the driving force does not exceed this combined shear strength
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Triggering slope movement

- Once a slope has been destabilized, failure can be triggered
- This may be near-instantaneous (rock avalanche or debris flow) or slow acting (creeping slump)
- Quake-related ground shaking
  - (usually M 3-4 or greater)
- Intense precipitation
  - raise pore fluid pressure
  - fluidize slope material
- lateral pressure
  - ice in fractures
  - dyke intrusion
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Mass movement hazards: scales and velocities

Volume

km³

m³

CREEP

Rock avalanches

LANDSLIDES

Time

minutes
days
months
years
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Mass movement hazards: frequencies and volumes

Annual Frequency

Volume (millions m³)
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Focus on landslides

- Slide refers to movement of coherent body over a basal discontinuity or shear surface (weak level of rock or soil)
- Principal types
  - Rotational
  - Translational
- Volumes often 100,000 m³ or less but can reach 1000 km³
- Often reactivated and may work back up slope
- Typically travel metres in hours/days but can be slower and much faster

La Conchita (California) Northridge quake 1994
**Slope stability**

Rotational landslides

Typically:

- involve a few lithological units
- characterised by slump morphology in which a ‘tail’ remaining in a scar
- have an accurate failure surface(s)

principal shear plane

secondary shear planes
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Translational landslides

Typically:

- involves many lithological units
- characterised by complete removal of material
- have planar failure surfaces

Translated lithologically composite block

Detached landslide deposit
Slope stability

Giant rock avalanches

- Extreme landslide events
- Volumes of 100,000 m³
- Velocities are very high ~ 100 m/s due to very low coefficients of friction
- Travel kilometers in a few minutes
- 1-2 per decade
- Transport mechanism problematical
  - originally thought travelled on cushion of compressed air

Kofels slide (Austria)
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Giant rock avalanches

- Only about 100 described in literature
- Few first-hand observations
- Occur in all types of rock
  - Young Mountains
  - Volcanoes
- Can be natural or triggered by human activities
  - Vaiont (Italy) 1963
- Total destruction: no mitigation feasible except evacuation

Vaiont (Italy) 1963
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### Rock avalanches: historic & prehistoric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$L$ (km)</th>
<th>$V$ (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Killed</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elm, 1881</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huascarán, 1970</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaiont, 1963</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayunmarka, 1974</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>Peru</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prehistoric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>$V$</th>
<th>Killed</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flims</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saidmarreh</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popocatepetl</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Rainfall-generated mass movement

• Function of rainfall intensity and duration
• Slope angle also important; steeper the angle the more likely that rainfall will trigger failure
• Movement triggered in two ways:
  – elevated pore pressures
  – fluidization and mobilization of slope material
• Former - slides
• Latter - debris flows

Casitas volcano
Nicaragua 1998
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Thames-Te Aroha, New Zealand,

April 1981
Total No. Slides: 7,170
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Seismically generated mass movement

- Increasingly severe problem as steep marginal land around growing cities is colonized
- Ground shaking and liquefaction both constitute effective triggers
- Quake-related mass movements range from small volume rock falls to major collapses with volumes > 100,000 m³
- Impact subsumed within quake figures; e.g. responsible for > 50% quake deaths in Japan

Northridge (California) 1994
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Earthquake magnitude v mass movement size

Area of Landslides, km²

Earthquake Magnitude

Rock Avalanche
Rock Slumps
Soil Flow
Falls
LIQUEFACTION
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Mass movement triggering mechanisms during quakes

- Ground shaking promotes stress pulse loading
  - large oscillatory stresses in slopes and embankments

- Stresses have short durations but are repeated many times
  - stress sense may be consistent
  - may alternate repeatedly

- Superimposed on initial stresses in slope

Northridge (California) 1994
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Quake triggering of slope failure

• Failure can occur due to
  – decreased strength of the slope
  – increased shear stress acting on the slope
  – liquefaction of sand or silt deposits

• Probability of failure
  – increases with number of pulses

• Fewer, higher amplitude, pulses over longer time may also be effective

El Salvador 2001
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Quake triggering of slope failure II

• Velocity of ground motion also important
  – probability of failure rises with increased velocity
• Mass movement may be delayed
• Primed slopes may fail hours, days or weeks later due to
  – aftershocks
  – rainfall infiltrating cracks and fissures and raising pore water pressures

Taiwan 1999
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Slope stability

Marek Cała – Katedra Geomechaniki, Budownictwa i Geotechniki
Slope stability
Slope stability
Slope stability

Seismogenic mass movement impacts

• Lateral spreads
  – occur on shallow slopes
  – can be locally very damaging
  – Alaska 1964; damaged 200 bridges
  – San Francisco 1906; ruptured water mains and hindered fire fighting

• Flow failures
  – slopes > 3 degrees
  – rapid & destructive
  – killed 200,000 in 1920 Kansu (China) quake
  – submarine failures may generate tsunami

Lateral spread
San Francisco 1906
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Destructive seismogenic slides: Huascaran (Peru) 1970

• 1949 Tadzhikistan
  – slide moving at 360 km/h destroyed town of Khait & killed 12,000
• 1970 Magnitude 8 quake struck offshore Peru
  – Overhanging peak of Nevados Huascaran detached
  – Debris fell 3.7km and traveled 11km ~ 4 minutes
  – 18,000 killed
  – Several towns buried under 30m debris
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Controls on seismogenic mass movements: Guatemala City 1976

- Magnitude 7.5
- 10,000 mass movements > 15,000 m³
- 11 slides > 100,000 m³
- Distribution not linked to pattern of pre-quake mass movement
- At smallest scale - slope steepness and topography main controls
- At larger scale - seismic intensity more important
- 90% of movement in weak pumice deposits
- Below 50 degrees debris slides most common
- Above - rock slides & falls
Slope stability

Debris flows

• Material flows downslope as mixture of rock fragments and wet mud/clay
• Soils, clay-rich rocks, volcanoes
• Volumes
  – most ~10,000 m$^3$ or less; some 10 km$^3$
• Fast: 0.1- 20 km/hr
• Highly destructive
• Ruiz (Columbia, 1985); Venezuela (1999)
• Swiss & Italian Alps (2000)

Campania (Italy) 1998
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More about debris flows

• Most tend to be relatively superficial
• Can be quake or precipitation triggered or related to volcanic activity
• May evolve from landslide
  – Mount St. Helens
  – Tessina (Italy)
• Viscosities variable: most contain 20 - 80% debris
  – if high, plug flow common
  – if low, may be very turbulent
• Capable of transporting large boulders & objects

Dilute debris flows
Pinatubo
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Persistent debris flows: Tessina (northern Italy)

- Primary failure activated in 1960 and involved 1 million m³
- By 1964 the flow was 2km long
- In 1990 the flow was reactivated threatening neighboring towns Funés, Lamosano, Tarcogna
- Threat continues today
- Requires continued intervention and monitoring
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Alpine debris flows 2000

- Up to 74cm rain fell over 4 days
- A 1 in several 1000 years event
- Water ran off saturated soil to form debris flows
- Also triggered slides and rock falls by raising pore water pressures
- 38 killed and over 40,000 evacuated
- Gondo debris flow (southern Switzerland) most lethal
- Impact exacerbated by construction in high risk areas
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Forecasting mass movements

• Statistics
  – Distribution of known slides
  – Return times of earthquakes
  – Return times of storms

• Monitoring
  – Local sites
  – Satellite/aerial remote sensing

• Modelling
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Mitigating Landslides

- Monitoring and Forecasting: EDM; interferometry
- Physical intervention
  - slope drainage (critical)
  - slope regrading
  - restraining structures (piles, buttresses etc)
  - vegetation
- Avoidance
  - land use restrictions
  - hazard mapping and land use zonation
  - Geological & engineering surveys before development
  - Insurance
- Warning and evacuation measures
- Raising Public Awareness
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Landslide monitoring & mitigation: Tessina
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Vaiont dam disaster 1963

- Dam constructed 1957-60
- 276 m high. World’s 2nd highest dam
- Slope started to creep as lake filled
- Accelerated to 80cm/day
- 9.10.63 275 millions tons of rock slid into lake
- 25 millions m³ of water displaced over dam
- Three towns destroyed
- 2000+ killed
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The Piave valley: before and after the landslide
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Aberfan debris flow (South Wales) 1966

- Occurred at overloaded & unmonitored coal tip
- Early morning on 21.10.66 upper part of tip subsided by up to 6m
- 9.15am ~150,000 m³ of debris broke away
- Flow of super-saturated rock waste moved downslope as high velocity viscous surges
- Cottages & school buried up to 10m deep
- 144 killed (116 children)
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Gros Ventre (Wyoming, USA) 1925

• On 23.6.1925 50 million m³ of rock slid on saturated clays after heavy rain/snow melt
• Debris dammed river valley to height of 75m
• 65m deep lake formed in 3 weeks
• Seepage through dam prevented overtopping
• Snow melt in Winter of 1927 caused overtopping on 18.5.27 and catastrophic debris flow
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Gros Ventre (Wyoming, USA) 1925

Landslide scar photographed in 1999

Overtopping of lake resulted in debris flow killing ~ 10 people
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Gros Ventre (Wyoming, USA) 1925 - surface
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