Chapter 6

The Daniell integral.

Daniell's idea was to take the axiomatic properties of the integral as the starting point and develop integration for broader and broader classes of functions. Then derive measure theory as a consequence. Much of the presentation here is taken from the book Abstract Harmonic Analysis by Lynn Loomis. Some of the lemmas, propositions and theorems indicate the corresponding sections in Loomis's book.

6.1 The Daniell Integral

Let L be a vector space of *bounded* real valued functions on a set S closed under ∧ and ∨. For example, S might be a complete metric space, and L might be the space of continuous functions of compact support on S.

A map

$$
I:L\to{\bf R}
$$

is called an Integral if

- 1. *I* is linear: $I(af + bg) = aI(f) + bI(g)$
- 2. I is non-negative: $f \ge 0 \Rightarrow I(f) \ge 0$ or equivalently $f \ge g \Rightarrow I(f) \ge I(g)$.
- 3. $f_n \searrow 0 \Rightarrow I(f_n) \searrow 0$.

For example, we might take $S = \mathbb{R}^n$, $L =$ the space of continuous functions of compact support on \mathbb{R}^n , and I to be the Riemann integral. The first two items on the above list are clearly satisfied. As to the third, we recall Dini's lemma from the notes on metric spaces, which says that a sequence of continuous functions of compact support $\{f_n\}$ on a metric space which satisfies $f_n \searrow 0$ actually converges uniformly to 0. Furthermore the supports of the f_n are all contained in a fixed compact set - for example the support of f_1 . This establishes the third item.

The plan is now to successively increase the class of functions on which the integral is defined.

Define

 $U := \{\text{limits of monotone non-decreasing sequences of elements of } L\}.$

We will use the word "increasing" as synonymous with "monotone non-decreasing" so as to simplify the language.

Lemma 6.1.1 If f_n is an increasing sequence of elements of L and if $k \in L$ satisfies $k \leq \lim f_n$ then $\lim I(f_n) \geq I(k)$.

Proof. If $k \in L$ and $\lim f_n \geq k$, then

$$
f_n \wedge k \leq k
$$
 and $f_n \geq f_n \wedge k$

so $I(f_n) \geq I(f_n \wedge k)$ while

$$
[k - (f_n \wedge k)] \searrow 0
$$

so

$$
I([k - f_n \wedge k]) \searrow 0
$$

by 3) or

$$
I(f_n \wedge k) \nearrow I(k).
$$

Hence $\lim I(f_n) \geq \lim I(f_n \wedge k) = I(k)$. QED

Lemma 6.1.2 [12C] If $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_n\}$ are increasing sequences of elements of L and $\lim g_n \leq \lim f_n$ then $\lim I(g_n) \leq \lim I(f_n)$.

Proof. Fix m and take $k = g_m$ in the previous lemma. Then $I(g_m) \leq \lim I(f_n)$. Now let $m \to \infty$. QED

Thus

$$
f_n \nearrow f
$$
 and $g_n \nearrow f \Rightarrow \lim I(f_n) = \lim I(g_n)$

so we may extend I to U by setting

$$
I(f) := \lim I(f_n) \quad \text{for} \ \ f_n \nearrow f.
$$

If $f \in L$, this coincides with our original I, since we can take $g_n = f$ for all n in the preceding lemma.

We have now extended I from L to U . The next lemma shows that if we now start with I on U and apply the same procedure again, we do not get any further.

Lemma 6.1.3 [12D] If $f_n \in U$ and $f_n \nearrow f$ then $f \in U$ and $I(f_n) \nearrow I(f)$.

Proof. For each fixed *n* choose $g_n^m \nearrow_m f_n$. Set

 $h_n := g_1^n \vee \cdots \vee g_n^n$

so

 $h_n \in L$ and h_n is increasing

with

 $g_i^n \leq h_n \leq f_n$ for $i \leq n$.

Let $n \to \infty$. Then

 $f_i \leq \lim h_n \leq f.$

Now let $i \to \infty$. We get

$$
f \leq \lim h_n \leq f.
$$

So we have written f as a limit of an increasing sequence of elements of L , So $f \in U$. Also

$$
I(g_i^n) \le I(h_n) \le I(f)
$$

so letting $n \to \infty$ we get

$$
I(f_i) \leq I(f) \leq \lim I(f_n)
$$

so passing to the limits gives $I(f) = \lim I(f_n)$. QED

We have

$$
I(f+g) = I(f) + I(g) \quad \text{for} \ \ f, g \in U.
$$

Define

$$
-U:=\{-f|\,\, f\in U\}
$$

and

$$
I(f) := -I(-f) \quad f \in -U.
$$

If $f \in U$ and $-f \in U$ then $I(f)+I(-f) = I(f-f) = I(0) = 0$ so $I(-f) = -I(f)$ in this case. So the definition is consistent.

 $-U$ is closed under monotone decreasing limits. etc.

If $g \in -U$ and $h \in U$ with $g \leq h$ then $-g \in U$ so $h - g \in U$ and $h - g \geq 0$ so $I(h) - I(g) = I(h + (-g)) = I(h - g) \ge 0.$

A function f is called I-summable if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists g \in -U$, $h \in U$ with

 $g \le f \le h$, $|I(g)| < \infty$, $|I(h)| < \infty$ and $I(h - g) \le \epsilon$.

For such f define

$$
I(f) = \text{glb } I(h) = \text{lub } I(g).
$$

If $f \in U$ take $h = f$ and $f_n \in L$ with $f_n \nearrow f$. Then $-f_n \in L \subset U$ so $f_n \in -U$. If $I(f) < \infty$ then we can choose n sufficiently large so that $I(f) - I(f_n) < \epsilon$. The space of summable functions is denoted by \overline{L}_1 . It is clearly a vector space, and I satisfies conditions 1) and 2) above, i.e. is linear and non-negative.

Theorem 6.1.1 [12G] Monotone convergence theorem. $f_n \in \overline{L}_1$, $f_n \nearrow f$ and $\lim I(f_n) < \infty \Rightarrow f \in \overline{L}_1$ and $I(f) = \lim I(f_n)$.

Proof. Replacing f_n by $f_n - f_0$ we may assume that $f_0 = 0$. Choose

$$
h_n \in U
$$
, such that $f_n - f_{n-1} \le h_n$ and $I(h_n) \le I(f_n - f_{n-1}) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$.

Then

$$
f_n \leq \sum_{1}^{n} h_i
$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(h_i) \leq I(f_n) + \epsilon$.

Since U is closed under monotone increasing limits,

$$
h := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \in U, \quad f \le h \quad \text{and } I(h) \le \lim I(f_n) + \epsilon.
$$

Since $f_m \in \overline{L}_1$ we can find a $g_m \in -U$ with $I(f_m) - I(g_m) < \epsilon$ and hence for m large enough $I(h) - I(g_m) < 2\epsilon$. So $f \in \overline{L}_1$ and $I(f) = \lim I(f_n)$. QED

6.2 Monotone class theorems.

A collection of functions which is closed under monotone increasing and monotone decreasing limits is called a **monotone class**. β is defined to be the smallest monotone class containing L .

Lemma 6.2.1 Let $h \leq k$. If M is a monotone class which contains $(g \vee h) \wedge k$ for every $g \in L$, then M contains all $(f \vee h) \wedge k$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. The set of f such that $(f \vee h) \wedge k \in \mathcal{M}$ is a monotone class containing L by the distributive laws.QED

Taking $h = k = 0$ this says that the smallest monotone class containing L^+ , the set of non-negative functions in L, is the set \mathcal{B}^+ , the set of non-negative functions in B .

Here is a series of monotone class theorem style arguments:

Theorem 6.2.1 $f, g \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow af + bg \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \vee g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \wedge g \in \mathcal{B}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$
\mathcal{M}(f) := \{ g \in \mathcal{B} | f + g, f \vee g, f \wedge g \in \mathcal{B} \}.
$$

 $\mathcal{M}(f)$ is a monotone class. If $f \in L$ it includes all of L, hence all of B. But

$$
g \in \mathcal{M}(f) \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{M}(g).
$$

So $L \subset \mathcal{M}(g)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{B}$, and since it is a monotone class $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}(g)$. This says that $f, g \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \wedge g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \vee g \in \mathcal{B}$. Similarly, let M be the class of functions for which $cf \in \mathcal{B}$ for all real c. This is a monotone class containing L hence contains β . QED

Lemma 6.2.2 If $f \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a $g \in U$ such that $f \leq g$.

Proof. The limit of a monotone increasing sequence of functions in U belongs to U. Hence the set of f for which the lemma is true is a monotone class which contains L . hence it contains B . QED

A function f is L-bounded if there exists a $g \in L^+$ with $|f| \leq g$. A class $\mathcal F$ of functions is said to be L-monotone if $\mathcal F$ is closed under monotone limits of L-bounded functions.

Theorem 6.2.2 The smallest L-monotone class including L^+ is \mathcal{B}^+ .

Proof. Call this smallest family F. If $g \in L^+$, the set of all $f \in \mathcal{B}^+$ such that $f \wedge g \in \mathcal{F}$ form a monotone class containing L^+ , hence containing \mathcal{B}^+ hence equal to \mathcal{B}^+ . If $f \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and $f \leq g$ then $f \wedge g = f \in \mathcal{F}$. So $\mathcal F$ contains all L bounded functions belonging to \mathcal{B}^+ . Let $f \in \mathcal{B}^+$. By the lemma, choose $g \in U$ such that $f \leq g$, and choose $g_n \in L^+$ with $g_n \nearrow g$. Then $f \wedge g_n \leq g_n$ and so is L bounded, so $f \wedge g_n \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $(f \wedge g_n) \to f$ we see that $f \in \mathcal{F}$. So

 $\mathcal{B}^+\subset \mathcal{F}.$

We know that \mathcal{B}^+ is a monotone class, in particular an L-monotone class. Hence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}^+$. QED

Define

$$
L^1:=\overline{L}_1\cap\mathcal{B}.
$$

Since \overline{L}_1 and β are both closed under the lattice operations,

$$
f \in L^1 \Rightarrow f^{\pm} \in L^1 \Rightarrow |f| \in L^1.
$$

Theorem 6.2.3 If $f \in \mathcal{B}$ then $f \in L^1 \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in L^1$ with $|f| \leq g$.

We have proved \Rightarrow : simply take $g = |f|$. For the converse we may assume that $f ">= 0$ by applying the result to f^+ and f^- . The family of all $h \in \mathcal{B}^+$ such that $h \wedge g \in L^1$ is monotone and includes L^+ so includes \mathcal{B}^+ . So $f = f \wedge g \in L^1$. QED

Extend I to all of \mathcal{B}^+ be setting it = ∞ on functions which do not belong to L^1 .

6.3 Measure.

Loomis calls a set A **integrable** if $1_A \in \mathcal{B}$. The monotone class properties of β imply that the integrable sets form a σ -field. Then define

$$
\mu(A):=\int {\bf 1}_A
$$

and the monotone convergence theorem guarantees that μ is a measure.

Add Stone's axiom

$$
f \in L \Rightarrow f \wedge \mathbf{1} \in L.
$$

Then the monotone class property implies that this is true with L replaced by \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 6.3.1 $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a > 0 \Rightarrow$ then

$$
A_a := \{p | f(p) > a\}
$$

is an integrable set. If $f \in L^1$ then

$$
\mu(A_a) < \infty.
$$

Proof. Let

$$
f_n := [n(f - f \wedge a)] \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{B}.
$$

Then

$$
f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(x) \ge a + \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x) \le a \\ n(f(x) - a) & \text{if } a < f(x) < a + \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
f_n\nearrow \mathbf{1}_{A_a}
$$

so $\mathbf{1}_{A_a} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{1}_{A_a} \leq \frac{1}{a} f^+$. QED

Theorem 6.3.2 If $f \ge 0$ and A_a is integrable for all $a > 0$ then $f \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. For $\delta > 1$ define

$$
A_m^{\delta} := \{ x | \delta^m < f(x) \le \delta^{m+1} \}
$$

for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$
f_{\delta}:=\sum_m \delta^m \mathbf{1}_{A_m^{\delta}}.
$$

Each $f_{\delta} \in \mathcal{B}$. Take

$$
\delta_n = 2^{2^{-n}}.
$$

Then each successive subdivision divides the previous one into "octaves" and $f_{\delta_m} \nearrow f$. QED

Also

$$
f_{\delta} \le f \le \delta f_{\delta}
$$

and

$$
I(f_{\delta}) = \sum \delta^n \mu(A_m^{\delta}) = \int f_{\delta} d\mu.
$$

So we have

$$
I(f_\delta) \leq I(f) \leq \delta I(f_\delta)
$$

and

$$
\int f_\delta d\mu \le \int f d\mu \le \delta \int f_\delta d\mu.
$$

So if either of $I(f)$ or $\int f d\mu$ is finite they both are and

$$
\left| I(f) - \int f d\mu \right| \le (\delta - 1)I(f_\delta) \le (\delta - 1)I(f).
$$

So

$$
\int f d\mu = I(f).
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and $a > 0$ then

$$
\{x|f(x)^{a} > b\} = \{x|f(x) > b^{\frac{1}{a}}\}.
$$

So $f \in \mathcal{B}^+ \Rightarrow f^a \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and hence the product of two elements of \mathcal{B}^+ belongs to \mathcal{B}^+ because 1

$$
fg = \frac{1}{4} [(f+g)^{2} - (f-g)^{2}].
$$

6.4 Hölder, Minkowski, L^p and L^q .

The numbers $p, q > 1$ are called **conjugate** if

$$
\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1.
$$

This is the same as

 $pq = p + q$

or

$$
(p-1)(q-1) = 1.
$$

This last equation says that if

$$
y = x^{p-1}
$$

then

$$
x = y^{q-1}.
$$

The area under the curve $y = x^{p-1}$ from 0 to a is

$$
A = \frac{a^p}{p}
$$

while the area between the same curve and the y-axis up to $y = b$

$$
B=\frac{b^q}{q}.
$$

 Q , 163

Suppose $b < a^{p-1}$ to fix the ideas. Then area ab of the rectangle is less than $A + B$ or

$$
\frac{a^p}{p}+\frac{b^q}{q}\geq ab
$$

with equality if and only if $b = a^{p-1}$. Replacing a by $a^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and b by $b^{\frac{1}{q}}$ gives

$$
a^{\frac{1}{p}}b^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q}.
$$

Let L^p denote the space of functions such that $|f|^p \in L^1$. For $f \in L^p$ define

$$
||f||_p:=\left(\int|f|^pd\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

We will soon see that if $p \geq 1$ this is a (semi-)norm.

If $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$ with $||f||_p \neq 0$ and $||g||_q \neq 0$ take

$$
a = \frac{|f|^p}{\|f\|_p}, \quad b = \frac{|g|^q}{\|g\|_q}
$$

as functions. Then

$$
\int (|f||g|) d\mu \le ||f||_p ||g||_q \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{||f||_p^p} \int |f|^p d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{||g||_q^q} \int |g|^q d\mu\right) = ||f||_p ||g||_q.
$$

This shows that the left hand side is integrable and that

$$
\left| \int f g d\mu \right| \le \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \tag{6.1}
$$

.

which is known as **Hölder's inequality**. (If either $||f||_p$ or $||g||_q = 0$ then $fg = 0$ a.e. and Hölder's inequality is trivial.)

We write

$$
(f,g):=\int fg d\mu.
$$

Proposition 6.4.1 [Minkowski's inequality] If $f, g \in L^p$, $p \ge 1$ then $f + g \in L^p$ L^p and

$$
||f+g||_p \leq ||f||_p + ||g||_p.
$$

For $p = 1$ this is obvious. If $p > 1$

$$
|f + g|^p \le [2 \max(|f|, |g|)]^p \le 2^p \, [|f|^p + |g|^p]
$$

implies that $f + g \in L^p$. Write

$$
||f+g||_p^p \le I(|f+g|^{p-1}|f|) + I(|f+g|^{p-1}|g|).
$$

Now

$$
q(p-1) = qp - q = p
$$

so

$$
|f+g|^{p-1} \in L_q
$$

and its $\|\cdot\|_q$ norm is

$$
I(|f+g|^p)^{\frac{1}{q}} = I(|f+q|^p)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} = I(|f+g|^p)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = ||f+g||_p^{p-1}.
$$

So we can write the preceding inequality as

$$
||f+g||_p^p \le (|f|, |f+g|^{p-1}) + (|g|, |f+g|^{p-1})
$$

and apply Hölder's inequality to conclude that

$$
||f+g||^p \le ||f+g||^{p-1}(||f||_p + ||g||_p).
$$

We may divide by $||f + g||_p^{p-1}$ to get Minkowski's inequality unless $||f + g||_p = 0$ in which case it is obvious. QED

Theorem 6.4.1 L^p is complete.

Proof. Suppose $f_n \geq 0$, $f_n \in L^p$, and $\sum ||f_n||_p < \infty$ Then

$$
k_n:=\sum_1^n f_j\in L^p
$$

by Minkowski and since $k_n \nearrow f$ we have $|k_n|^p \nearrow f^p$ and hence by the monotone convergence theorem $f := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_n \in L^p$ and $||f||_p = \lim ||k_n||_p \leq \sum ||f_j||_p$.

Now let $\{f_n\}$ be any Cauchy sequence in L^p . By passing to a subsequence we may assume that

$$
||f_{n+1} - f_n||_p < \frac{1}{2^n}.
$$

So $\sum_{n}^{\infty} |f_{i+1} - f_i| \in L^p$ and hence

$$
g_n := f_n - \sum_{n}^{\infty} |f_{i+1} - f_i| \in L^p
$$
 and $h_n := f_n + \sum_{n}^{\infty} |f_{i+1} - f_i| \in L^p$.

We have

$$
g_{n+1} - g_n = f_{n+1} - f_n + |f_{n+1} - f_n| \ge 0
$$

so g_n is increasing and similarly h_n is decreasing. Hence $f := \lim g_n \in L^p$ and $||f - f_n||_p \le ||h_n - g_n||_p \le 2^{-n+2} \to 0$. So the subsequence has a limit which then must be the limit of the original sequence. QED

Proposition 6.4.2 *L* is dense in L^p for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. For $p = 1$ this was a defining property of $L¹$. More generally, suppose that $f \in L^p$ and that $f \geq 0$. Let

$$
A_n := \{ x : \frac{1}{n} < f(x) < n \},
$$

and let

$$
g_n:=f\cdot{\bf 1}_{A_n}.
$$

Then $(f-g_n) \setminus 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Choose n sufficiently large so that $||f-g_n||_p < \epsilon/2$. Since

$$
0 \le g_n \le n \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(A_n) < n^p I(|f|^p) < \infty
$$

we conclude that

$$
g_n \in L^1.
$$

Now choose $h \in L^+$ so that

$$
||h - g_n||_1 < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^p
$$

and also so that $h \leq n$. Then

$$
||h - g_n||_p = (I(|h - g_n|^p))^{1/p}
$$

= $(I(|h - g_n|^{p-1}|h - g_n|))^{1/p}$
 $\leq (I(n^{p-1}|h - g_n|))^{1/p}$
= $(n^{p-1}||h - g_n||_1)^{1/p}$
 $< \epsilon/2.$

So by the triangle inequality $||f - h|| < \epsilon$. QED

In the above, we have not bothered to pass to the quotient by the elements of norm zero. In other words, we have not identified two functions which differ on a set of measure zero. We will continue with this ambiguity. But equally well, we could change our notation, and use L^p to denote the quotient space (as we did earlier in class) and denote the space before we pass to the quotient by \mathcal{L}^p to conform with our earlier notation. I will continue to be sloppy on this point, in conformity to Loomis' notation.

6.5 $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is the essential sup norm.

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{B}$ has the property that it is equal almost everywhere to a function which is bounded above. We call such a function essentially bounded (from above). We can then define the **essential least upper bound** of f to be the smallest number which is an upper bound for a function which differs from f on a set of measure zero. If $|f|$ is essentially bounded, we denote its essential least upper bound by $||f||_{\infty}$. Otherwise we say that $||f||_{\infty} = \infty$. We let \mathcal{L}^{∞} denote the space of $f \in \mathcal{B}$ which have $||f||_{\infty} < \infty$. It is clear that $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$ is a semi-norm on this space. The justification for this notation is

Theorem 6.5.1 [14G] If $f \in L^p$ for some $p > 0$ then

$$
||f||_{\infty} = \lim_{q \to \infty} ||f||_q. \tag{6.2}
$$

Remark. In the statement of the theorem, both sides of (6.2) are allowed to be ∞.

Proof. If $||f||_{\infty} = 0$, then $||f||_q = 0$ for all $q > 0$ so the result is trivial in this case. So let us assume that $||f||_{\infty} > 0$ and let a be any positive number smaller that $||f||_{\infty}$. In other words,

$$
0 < a < \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

Let

$$
A_a := \|x : |f(x)| > a\}.
$$

This set has positive measure by the choice of a, and its measure is finite since $f \in L^p$. Also

$$
||f||_q \ge \left(\int_{A_a} |f|^q\right)^{1/q} \ge a\mu(A_a)^{1/q}.
$$

Letting $q \to \infty$ gives

$$
\lim \inf_{q \to \infty} ||f||_q \ge a
$$

and since a can be any number $\langle ||f||_{\infty}$ we conclude that

 $\lim \inf_{q \to \infty} ||f||_q \ge ||f||_{\infty}.$

So we need to prove that

$$
\lim \|f\|_q \le \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

This is obvious if $||f||_{\infty} = \infty$. So suppose that $||f||_{\infty}$ is finite. Then for $q > p$ we have

$$
|f|^q \le |f|^p (\|f\|_\infty)^{q-p}
$$

almost everywhere. Integrating and taking the q-th root gives

$$
||f||_q \le (||f||_p)^{\frac{p}{q}} (||f||_{\infty})^{1-\frac{p}{q}}.
$$

Letting $q \to \infty$ gives the desired result. QED

6.6 The Radon-Nikodym Theorem.

Suppose we are given two integrals, I and J on the same space L . That is, both I and J satisfy the three conditions of linearity, positivity, and the monotone limit property that went into our definition of the term "integral". We say that J is absolutely continuous with respect to I if every set which is I null (i.e. has measure zero with respect to the measure associated to I) is J null.

The integral I is said to be **bounded** if

$$
I(\mathbf{1})<\infty,
$$

or, what amounts to the same thing, that

 $\mu_I(S) < \infty$

where μ_I is the measure associated to I.

We will first formulate the Radon-Nikodym theorem for the case of bounded integrals, where there is a very clever proof due to von-Neumman which reduces it to the Riesz representation theorem in Hilbert space theory.

Theorem 6.6.1 [Radon-Nikodym] Let I and J be bounded integrals, and suppose that J is absolutely continuous with respect to I. Then there exists an element $f_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1(I)$ such that

$$
J(f) = I(f f_0) \quad \forall \ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(J). \tag{6.3}
$$

The element f_0 is unique up to equality almost everywhere (with respect to μ_I).

Proof.(After von-Neumann.) Consider the linear function

$$
K:=I+J
$$

on L. Then K satisfies all three conditions in our definition of an integral, and in addition is bounded. We know from the case $p = 2$ of Theorem 6.4.1 that $L^2(K)$ is a (real) Hilbert space. (Assume for this argument that we have passed to the quotient space so an element of $L^2(K)$ is an equivalence class of of functions.) The fact that K is bounded, says that $\mathbf{1} := \mathbf{1}_S \in L^2(K)$. If $f \in L^2(K)$ then the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality says that

$$
K(|f|) = K(|f| \cdot \mathbf{1}) = (|f|, \mathbf{1})_{2,K} \le ||f||_{2,K} ||\mathbf{1}||_{2,K} < \infty
$$

so |f| and hence f are elements of $L^1(K)$.

Furthermore,

$$
|J(f)| \le J(|f|) \le K(|f|) \le ||f||_{2,K} ||\mathbf{1}||_{2,K}
$$

for all $f \in L$. Since we know that L is dense in $L^2(K)$ by Proposition 6.4.2, J extends to a unique continuous linear functional on $L^2(K)$. We conclude from the real version of the Riesz representation theorem, that there exists a unique $g \in L^2(K)$ such that

$$
J(f) = (f, g)_{2,K} = K(fg).
$$

If A is any subset of S of positive measure, then $J(1_A) = K(1_Ag)$ so g is nonnegative. (More precisely, g is equivalent almost everywhere to a function which is non-negative.) We obtain inductively

$$
J(f) = K(fg) =
$$

\n
$$
I(fg) + J(fg) = I(fg) + I(fg^{2}) + J(fg^{2}) =
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
= I\left(f \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{i}\right) + J(fg^{n}).
$$

Let N be the set of all x where $g(x) \geq 1$. Taking $f = \mathbf{1}_N$ in the preceding string of equalities shows that

$$
J(\mathbf{1}_N)\geq nI(\mathbf{1}_N).
$$

Since n is arbitrary, we have proved

Lemma 6.6.1 The set where $g \ge 1$ has I measure zero.

We have not yet used the assumption that J is absolutely continuous with respect to I. Let us now use this assumption to conclude that N is also J-null. This means that if $f \geq 0$ and $f \in L^1(J)$ then $fg^n \searrow 0$ almost everywhere (J) , and hence by the dominated convergence theorem

$$
J(fg^n)\searrow 0.
$$

Plugging this back into the above string of equalities shows (by the monotone convergence theorem for I) that

$$
f\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}g^n
$$

converges in the $L^1(I)$ norm to $J(f)$. In particular, since $J(1) < \infty$, we may take $f = 1$ and conclude that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g^i$ converges in $L^1(I)$. So set

$$
f_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g^i \in L^1(I).
$$

We have

$$
f_0 = \frac{1}{1 - g}
$$
 almost everywhere

$$
g = \frac{f_0 - 1}{f} \quad \text{almost everywhere}
$$

so

and

$$
f_0 \qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \text{and} \qquad
$$

$$
J(f) = I(f f_0)
$$

for $f \geq 0$, $f \in L^1(J)$. By breaking any $f \in L^1(J)$ into the difference of its positive and negative parts, we conclude that (6.3) holds for all $f \in L^1(J)$. The uniqueness of f_0 (almost everywhere (I)) follows from the uniqueness of g in $L^2(K)$. QED

The Radon Nikodym theorem can be extended in two directions. First of all, let us continue with our assumption that I and J are bounded, but drop the absolute continuity requirement. Let us say that an integral H is absolutely singular with respect to I if there is a set N of I -measure zero such that $J(h) = 0$ for any h vanishing on N.

Let us now go back to Lemma 6.6.1. Define J_{sing} by

$$
J_{sing}(f) = J(\mathbf{1}_N f).
$$

Then J_{sing} is singular with respect to I, and we can write

$$
J = J_{cont} + J_{sing}
$$

where

$$
J_{cont} = J - J_{sing} = J(\mathbf{1}_{N^c}).
$$

Then we can apply the rest of the proof of the Radon Nikodym theorem to J_{cont} to conclude that

$$
J_{cont}(f) = I(f f_0)
$$

where $f_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{1}_{N^c} g)^i$ is an element of $L^1(I)$ as before. In particular, J_{cont} is absolutely continuous with respect to I.

A second extension is to certain situations where S is not of finite measure. We say that a function f is **locally** L^1 if $f1_A \in L^1$ for every set A with $\mu(A) < \infty$. We say that S is σ -finite with respect to μ if S is a countable union of sets of finite μ measure. This is the same as saying that $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_S \in \mathcal{B}$. If S is σ -finite then it can be written as a disjoint union of sets of finite measure. If S is σ -finite with respect to both I and J it can be written as the disjoint union of countably many sets which are both I and J finite. So if J is absolutely continuous with respect I , we can apply the Radon-Nikodym theorem to each of these sets of finite measure, and conclude that there is an f_0 which is locally L^1 with respect to I, such that $J(f) = I(f f_0)$ for all $f \in L^1(J)$, and f_0 is unique up to almost everywhere equality.

6.7 The dual space of L^p .

Recall that Hölder's inequality (6.1) says that

$$
\left|\int fg d\mu\right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q
$$

if $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$ where

$$
\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.
$$

For the rest of this section we will assume without further mention that this relation between p and q holds. Hölder's inequality implies that we have a map from

$$
L^q \to (L^p)^*
$$

sending $g \in L^q$ to the continuous linear function on L^p which sends

$$
f \mapsto I(fg) = \int fg d\mu.
$$

Furthermore, Hölder's inequality says that the norm of this map from $L^q \rightarrow$ $(L^p)^*$ is ≤ 1 . In particular, this map is injective.

The theorem we want to prove is that under suitable conditions on S and I (which are more general even that σ -finiteness) this map is surjective for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

We will first prove the theorem in the case where $\mu(S) < \infty$, that is when I is a bounded integral. For this we will will need a lemma: